experience, transparency, and confidentiality;

Whereas rogue regimes and clandestine organizations continue to exhibit the ambition to acquire nuclear materials that can be used to build crude radiological and nuclear weapons;

Whereas the IAEA Office of Nuclear Security relies almost exclusively on voluntary funding, which is inherently unpredictable and inconsistent; and

Whereas the 2016 Nuclear Security Summit in Washington, D.C., issued an Action Plan on April 1, 2016, citing the agency's need for "reliable and sufficient resources": Now, therefore, be it

Resolved. That the Senate-

(1) maintains that the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) plays an indispensable role in strengthening nuclear security and safety around the globe;

(2) reaffirms that the United States has a vital interest in preventing the spread of nuclear weapons and securing nuclear materials: and

(3) encourages the United States and other member states of the IAEA to take steps to ensure that the IAEA has the resources needed to successfully carry out its duties, including-

(A) supporting the IAEA to continue convening ministerial meetings on nuclear security to promote political commitment:

(B) contributing to the implementation of the IAEA's Nuclear Security Plan through reliable and sufficient resources:

(C) providing appropriate political, technical, and financial support to the Nuclear Security Fund: and

(D) developing a comprehensive strategy to encourage non-state, private sector contributions to the Nuclear Security Fund.

SENATE RESOLUTION 467-RECOG-NIZING THE CONTRIBUTIONS MADE BY THE 305-METER RADIO TELESCOPE AT THE ARECIBO OBSERVATORY

Mr. BLUMENTHAL (for himself, Mr. RUBIO, Ms. WARREN, Mr. MARKEY, and Mr. Scott of Florida) submitted the following resolution: which was referred to the Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation:

### S. Res. 467

Whereas the Department of Defense began developing the Arecibo Observatory located in Barrio Esperanza, Arecibo, Puerto Rico, during the 1950s, and its characteristic instrument, a large radio telescope of 305 meters in diameter was completed in 1963:

Whereas the facility was later owned by the National Science Foundation, and supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and various university partners:

Whereas the Arecibo Observatory's 305meter fixed spherical radio telescope, was the world's largest single-dish radio telescope until the Five-Hundred-Meter Aperture Spherical Radio Telescope located in Gizhou, China, began observing in 2016;

Whereas the 305-meter radio telescope made unparalleled contributions to the fields of radio astronomy, planetary, and atmospheric sciences, and played a role in inspiring thousands of students in Puerto Rico, the Nation, and the world to pursue careers in STEM fields through the Arecibo Observatory Education and Public Outreach Programs:

Whereas the radio telescope significantly advanced the field of radio astronomy, including the first indirect detection of gravitational waves, the first detection of extrasolar planets, innumerable contribu-

tions to the field of time domain astronomy and the study of the interstellar medium, and played a key role in the search for extraterrestrial intelligence;

Whereas the Arecibo Observatory had the best planetary radar system in the world, used by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration for near-Earth object detection and was an essential part of the agency's planetary defense program;

Whereas the planetary radar at the Arecibo Observatory has contributed fundamentally and significantly to the knowledge of the solar system;

Whereas the Arecibo Observatory's Incoherent Scatter Radar and supporting facilities have provided fundamental understanding of the ionosphere and upper atmosphere, and the interface between the atmosphere and space that protects the planet from solar wind, meteors, and other potential threats: and

Whereas, December 1, 2021, marks the 1year anniversary of the uncontrolled collapse sustained by the radio telescope after a series of cable failures in tower 4: Now. therefore, be it Resolved, That the Senate—

(1) acknowledges the loss of the Arecibo Observatory's radio telescope due to its collapse and its implications for the loss of a unique world-class multidisciplinary science facility which conducted research in the areas of space and atmospheric sciences, radar astronomy and planetary sciences, astronomy, and astrophysics;

(2) acknowledges that the uncontrolled collapse of the 305-meter radio telescope represents a remarkable loss of astronomical observation capabilities, scientific research and development, planetary defense capabilities, and applied science advantage for the United States:

(3) recognizes the rich scientific, educational, and economic benefits that the Arecibo Telescope has made to the people of Puerto Rico, the Nation, and the world;

(4) recognizes the work and contributions made by the thousands of dedicated staff who have supported the Arecibo Observatory for close to 6 decades:

(5) commends the National Science Foundation for convening a virtual workshop in June 2021, to explore ideas for future scientific and educational activities at the Arecibo Observatory; and

(6) encourages the National Science Foundation, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, and other agencies to study means of replacing the scientific capabilities that were lost at the Arecibo Observatory, utilizing new state-of-the-art technologies at the site.

#### AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 4866. Mr. SULLIVAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3867 proposed by Mr. REED to the bill H.R. 4350, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2022 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal vear, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table.

4867. Mr. SULLIVAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3867 proposed by Mr. REED to the bill H.R. 4350, supra; which was ordered

to lie on the table. SA 4868. Mr. MARSHALL (for himself, Mr. LEE, and Mr. DAINES) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 6119, making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2022, and for other purposes.

SA 4869. Mr. HAGERTY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 6119, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

SA 4870. Mr. HAGERTY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 6119, supra; which was ordered to lie on the table.

## TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 4866. Mr. SULLIVAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3867 proposed by Mr. REED to the bill H.R. 4350, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2022 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction, and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of subtitle B of title III, add the following:

SEC. 318. DESIGNATION OF CATEGORICAL EXCLU-SIONS FROM ENVIRONMENTAL AS-SESSMENTS OR ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS FOR PERMA-NENT DEPLOYMENT OF LIMITED NUMBER OF AIRCRAFT WITH STRA-TEGIC SIGNIFICANCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Air Force shall designate as an action categorically excluded from the requirements relating to environmental assessments or environmental impact statements for purposes of appendix B of part 989 of title 32, Code of Federal Regulations (or successor regulations), and part 1501 of title 40. Code of Federal Regulations (or successor regulations), any project-

(1) that is critical to national security. maximizes aircraft for contingencies, and enhances operational flexibility; and

(2) that consists of beddown or plus-up of a small number of aircraft to an installation with similar aircraft that does not result in an increase of more than 300 permanent personnel or logistics support requirements at the receiving installation.

(b) APPLICATION OF EXCLUSION —Subsection (a) shall apply to any aircraft and infrastructure directly supporting a beddown or plusup described in such subsection, including operational facilities, operational support facilities, and on-base housing.

(c) Reprogramming.—The Secretary of the Air Force may reprogram such funds allocated to the Department of the Air Force as the Secretary considers necessary to expedite a beddown or plus-up described in subsection (a), including for infrastructure supporting such beddown or plus-up pursuant to subsection (b).

(d) REGULATIONS.—Not later than 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. the Secretary of the Air Force shall prescribe such regulations as are necessary to carry out this section.

SA 4867. Mr. SULLIVAN submitted an amendment intended to be proposed to amendment SA 3867 proposed by Mr. REED to the bill H.R. 4350, to authorize appropriations for fiscal year 2022 for military activities of the Department of Defense, for military construction. and for defense activities of the Department of Energy, to prescribe military personnel strengths for such fiscal year, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the end of title VI, add the following: SEC. 607. MODIFICATIONS TO CALCULATION OF BASIC HOUSING ALLOWANCE FOR REMOTE OR ISOLATED AREAS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 403(b) of title 37, United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the following new paragraph:

"(9) In the case of a military housing area determined by the Secretary of Defense to be remote or isolated, the Secretary shall determine the costs of adequate housing under paragraph (2) based on the higher of the median or the mean rent in that area."

(b) ABSORPTION RATE FOR OUT-OF-POCKET EXPENSES.—Paragraph (3) of such section is amended by adding at the end the following

new subparagraph:

"(C) In the case of a military housing area determined by the Secretary of Defense to be remote or isolated, the percentage to be used for purposes of subparagraph (A)(ii) shall be zero percent for months occurring after 2021."

SA 4868. Mr. MARSHALL (for himself, Mr. Lee, and Mr. Daines) submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 6119, making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2022, and for other purposes; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

# SEC. \_\_\_\_. PROHIBITION ON FUNDING FOR COVID-19 VACCINE MANDATES.

None of the funds appropriated or otherwise made available under this Act may be obligated or expended to—

(1) implement or enforce—

(A) section 1910.501 of title 29, Code of Federal Regulations (or a successor regulation);

- (B) Executive Order 14042 of September 9, 2021 (86 Fed. Reg. 50985; relating to ensuring adequate COVID safety protocols for Federal contractors);
- (C) Executive Order 14043 of September 9, 2021 (86 Fed. Reg. 50989; relating to requiring Coronavirus Disease 2019 vaccination for Federal employees);
- (D) the interim final rule issued by the Department of Health and Human Services on November 5, 2021, entitled "Medicare and Medicaid Programs; Omnibus COVID-19 Health Care Staff Vaccination" (86 Fed. Reg. 61555); or
- (E) the memorandum signed by the Secretary of Defense on August 24, 2021, for "Mandatory Coronavirus Disease 2019 Vaccination of Department of Defense Service Members"; or
- (2) promulgate, implement, or enforce any rule, regulation, or other agency statement, that is substantially similar to a regulation, Executive Order, rule, or memorandum described in paragraph (1).
- SA 4869. Mr. HAGERTY submitted an amendment intended to be proposed by him to the bill H.R. 6119, making further continuing appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2022, and for other purposes; which was ordered to lie on the table; as follows:

At the appropriate place, insert the following:

# TITLE \_\_\_\_CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW OF CERTAIN ACTIONS RELATING TO IRAN SANCTIONS

SEC. \_\_\_01. SHORT TITLE.

This title may be cited as the "Iran Sanctions Relief Review Act of 2021".

SEC. \_\_\_02. CONGRESSIONAL REVIEW OF CERTAIN ACTIONS RELATING TO SANCTIONS IMPOSED WITH RESPECT TO IRAN.

(a) Submission to Congress of Proposed Action.—

- (1) IN GENERAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, before taking any action described in paragraph (2), the President shall submit to the appropriate congressional committees and leadership a report that describes the proposed action and the reasons for that action.
  - (2) ACTIONS DESCRIBED.—
- (A) IN GENERAL.—An action described in this paragraph is—
- (i) an action to terminate the application of any sanctions described in subparagraph (B):
- (ii) with respect to sanctions described in subparagraph (B) imposed by the President with respect to a person, an action to waive the application of those sanctions with respect to that person; or
- (iii) a licensing action that significantly alters United States foreign policy with respect to Iran.
- (B) SANCTIONS DESCRIBED.—The sanctions described in this subparagraph are sanctions with respect to Iran provided for under—
- (i) the Iran Sanctions Act of 1996 (Public Law 104-172; 50 U.S.C. 1701 note);
- (ii) the Comprehensive Iran Sanctions, Accountability, and Divestment Act of 2010 (22 U.S.C. 8501 et seq.);
- (iii) section  $1\overline{2}4\overline{5}$  of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (22 U.S.C. 8513a);
- (iv) the Iran Threat Reduction and Syria Human Rights Act of 2012 (22 U.S.C. 8701 et seq.);
- (v) the Iran Freedom and Counter-Proliferation Act of 2012 (22 U.S.C. 8801 et seq.); (vi) the International Emergency Eco-
- nomic Powers Act (50 U.S.C. 1701 note); or (vii) any other statute or Executive order that requires or authorizes the imposition of
- sanctions with respect to Iran.
  (3) DESCRIPTION OF TYPE OF ACTION.—Each report submitted under paragraph (1) with respect to an action described in paragraph
- (2) shall include a description of whether the action—
  (A) is not intended to significantly alter United States foreign policy with respect to
- Iran; or
  (B) is intended to significantly alter
  United States foreign policy with respect to
- (4) INCLUSION OF ADDITIONAL MATTER –
- (A) IN GENERAL.—Each report submitted under paragraph (1) that relates to an action that is intended to significantly alter United States foreign policy with respect to Iran shall include a description of—
- (i) the significant alteration to United States foreign policy with respect to Iran;
- (ii) the anticipated effect of the action on the national security interests of the United States; and
- (iii) the policy objectives for which the sanctions affected by the action were initially imposed.
- (B) REQUESTS FROM BANKING AND FINANCIAL SERVICES COMMITTEES.—The Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate or the Committee on Financial Services of the House of Representatives may request the submission to the Committee of the matter described in clauses (ii) and (iii) of subparagraph (A) with respect to a report submitted under paragraph (1) that relates to an action that is not intended to significantly alter United States foreign policy with respect to Iran.
- (5) CONFIDENTIALITY OF PROPRIETARY INFOR-MATION.—Proprietary information that can be associated with a particular person with respect to an action described in paragraph (2) may be included in a report submitted under paragraph (1) only if the appropriate congressional committees and leadership provide assurances of confidentiality, unless that person otherwise consents in writing to such disclosure.

- (6) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Paragraph (2)(A)(iii) shall not be construed to require the submission of a report under paragraph (1) with respect to the routine issuance of a license that does not significantly alter United States foreign policy with respect to Iran
- (b) Period for Review by Congress.-
- (1) IN GENERAL.—During the period of 30 calendar days beginning on the date on which the President submits a report under subsection (a)(1)—
- (A) in the case of a report that relates to an action that is not intended to significantly alter United States foreign policy with respect to Iran, the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs of the Senate and the Committee on Financial Services of the House of Representatives should, as appropriate, hold hearings and briefings and otherwise obtain information in order to fully review the report; and
- (B) in the case of a report that relates to an action that is intended to significantly alter United States foreign policy with respect to Iran, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives should, as appropriate, hold hearings and briefings and otherwise obtain information in order to fully review the report.
- (2) EXCEPTION.—The period for congressional review under paragraph (1) of a report required to be submitted under subsection (a)(1) shall be 60 calendar days if the report is submitted on or after July 10 and on or before September 7 in any calendar year.
- (3) Limitation on actions during initial congressional review period.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, during the period for congressional review provided for under paragraph (1) of a report submitted under subsection (a)(1) proposing an action described in subsection (a)(2), including any additional period for such review as applicable under the exception provided in paragraph (2), the President may not take that action unless a joint resolution of approval with respect to that action is enacted in accordance with subsection (c).
- (4) LIMITATION ON ACTIONS DURING PRESIDENTIAL CONSIDERATION OF A JOINT RESOLUTION OF DISAPPROVAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if a joint resolution of disapproval relating to a report submitted under subsection (a)(1) proposing an action described in subsection (a)(2) passes both Houses of Congress in accordance with subsection (c), the President may not take that action for a period of 12 calendar days after the date of passage of the joint resolution of disapproval.
- (5) LIMITATION ON ACTIONS DURING CONGRESSIONAL RECONSIDERATION OF A JOINT RESOLUTION OF DISAPPROVAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if a joint resolution of disapproval relating to a report submitted under subsection (a)(1) proposing an action described in subsection (a)(2) passes both Houses of Congress in accordance with subsection (c), and the President vetoes the joint resolution, the President may not take that action for a period of 10 calendar days after the date of the President's veto.
- (6) EFFECT OF ENACTMENT OF A JOINT RESOLUTION OF DISAPPROVAL.—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, if a joint resolution of disapproval relating to a report submitted under subsection (a)(1) proposing an action described in subsection (a)(2) is enacted in accordance with subsection (c), the President may not take that action.

  (c) JOINT RESOLUTIONS OF DISAPPROVAL OR
- (c) Joint Resolutions of Disapproval or Approval.—
- (1) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:
- (A) JOINT RESOLUTION OF APPROVAL.—The term "joint resolution of approval" means only a joint resolution of either House of Congress—